UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 4 - 1-05
In Re TERRORIST ATTACKS on) SEPTEMBER 11, 2001)	O3 MDL 1570 (RCC) ECF Case

This document relates to:

Estate of John P. O'Neill, et al v. Al Baraka Inv. & Dev. Corp., et al, 04-CV-1923 (RCC)

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR SALEH ABDULLAH KAMEL AND AL BARAKA INVESTMENT & DEVELOPMENT CORP. TO RESPOND TO THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs and for Defendant, Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp., that undersigned counsel for Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. will accept service of the Second Amended Complaint on behalf of Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. in the case of Estate of John P. O'Neill, et al v. Al Baraka Inv. & Dev. Corp. et al. 04-CV-1923 (RCC)(S.D.N.Y.), subject to the approval of the Court, according to the following terms:

1. A copy of the summons and Second Amended Complaint, in English, shall be served via Federal Express on counsel for Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. at the following address:

Martin F. Me Mahon, Esquire 1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20035

2. Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. shall each have sixty (60) days from the date of receipt of the Second Amended Complaint to move to dismiss or to answer the Second Amended Complaint.

- 3. Plaintiffs shall have sixty (60) days from the date on which it is served with Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp.'s response to the Complaint to serve its opposition to same or to respond to the answer.
- 4. Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. shall have thirty (30) days from the date on which Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. are served with Plaintiffs' opposition to a motion to dismiss, or response to an answer, to reply to that opposition.
- 5. The foregoing schedule is without waiver of any of Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp.'s defenses, except that Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. do not challenge the sufficiency of process or the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' service of process on Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. in this case if made in compliance with Paragraphs 1-4 above. Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment & Development Corp. hereby waive all affirmative defenses, objections and arguments relating to the sufficiency of process and the sufficiency of service of process during the course of this litigation.

Respectfully submitted,

& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

LAW OFFICES OF JERRY S. GOLDMAN

MARTIN F. MCMAHON/& ASSOCIATES

Martin F. McMahon 1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20035

Phone: 202-862-4343 Fax: 202-862-4302

Dated: March ____, 2005

Counsel for Defendant
Saleh Abdullah Kamel and Al Baraka Investment and

Jerry S. Goldman 111 Broadway 13th Floor

New York, New York 10006 Phone: (212) 242-2232

Fax: (212) 346-4665

Dated: March 11, 2005

Counsel for Plainliffs

Development Corp.

Dated: New York, New York
April 1 , 2005

SO ORDERED:

Richard C. Casey